

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspfo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. LWC/187 7857 10/043,814 01/10/2002 Stanley K. Cheng EXAMINER 26875 10/03/2003 7590 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP ALEXANDER, REGINALD 2700 CAREW TOWER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202 1761

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office April - Comment	10/043,814	CHENG, STANLEY K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 A</u>	<u>ugust 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊡ Thi	s action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Dispositi n of Claims 		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicati n Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/043,814

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng in view of Welhouse.

There is disclosed in Cheng a cooking pan comprising: a base 2 having an upper cooking surface, the surface being provided with a pattern of concentric corrugations 8 having ridges and grooves therebetween; and a non-stick coating 10 applied to the cooking surface. In regards to the claimed ridge to ridge spacing range, it is recited in Cheng that the range can be 2mm or wider.

Welhouse discloses a distance between a ridge top 15 and a groove bottom 17 in the range of 0.005" to 0.012". It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the ridge top to groove bottom distance of Cheng as taught by Welhouse, in order to improve the heat transfer and drainage performance of the cooking pan.

In regards to the various distance ranges recited in the claims, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to construct the cooking pan within those ranges, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the

Application/Control Number: 10/043,814

Art Unit: 1761

prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It should first be noted that when viewing the cooking surfaces of Wellhouse and Cheng it was the Examiners opinion that both disclosed a textured surface. While Wellhouse may not disclose a pattern of concentric corrugations, there is disclosed a surface pattern having ridges and grooves just as Cheng discloses and is required by the claims. Wellhouse teaches that a non-stick material can be applied to a cooking surface having a surface pattern which has ridges and grooves within the claimed height (col. 4, lines 62-68; col. 5, lines 1 and 2).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,814

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 703-

308-1594. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for

regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

rla

October 1, 2003

Reginald L. Alexander **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1761 Regnald / Alexander